

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/814,488	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MYERS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ehud Gartenberg	<b>Art Unit</b> 3746	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Ehud Gartenberg.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Steve Rosen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 June 2005
**Time:** PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

NA

**Claims discussed:**

1, 13

**Prior art documents discussed:**

10/801,439

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Agreement was reached to file a terminal disclaimer to obviate an obvious double patenting rejection in view of Myers 10/801,439 (allowed)*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)